



Pathogenesis, Clinical Course, Complications and treatment of Impaired Glucose Tolerance— Current concepts

SM OSAMA

Gp. Capt. SM Osama MD (Med.), Adviser in Medicine
AFCME—New Delhi.

Diagnostic criteria, pathogenesis, natural history, complications and latest trend in management of Impaired Glucose Tolerance (IGT) has been described. The justification in favour of the new term IGT in lieu of the older terms, chemical diabetes, latent diabetes, subclinical diabetes and symptomatic diabetes has been highlighted. Patients of IGT exhibit an abnormal insulin response to orally and intravenously administered glucose. There is increased insulin resistance and reduced insulin binding. Obesity is associated with increased insulin resistance and could be contributory to glucose intolerance in obese cases of IGT. Prospective epidemiological studies of cases of IGT have shown that hyperglycaemia is the most important prognostic factor for deterioration to overt diabetes and to an increased mortality with Coronary Heart Disease (CHD). Increased systolic blood pressure (> 153 mm Hg) and obesity, defined as Body Mass Index (BMI) > 27 (BMI = weight in kg \div Height in metres²), are other factors associated with increased CHD mortality.

Importance of correction of obesity, has been emphasised. The role of fructose and sorbitol in lieu of glucose and sucrose has been discussed. The role of high fibre diet and continuous exercise programme in the management of cases of IGT has been highlighted.

Introduction

A new term "Impaired Glucose Tolerance" (IGT) has been legitimised by the World Health Organisation¹, abrogating to oblivion the older terms like chemical, borderline, subclinical, asymptomatic and latent diabetes. The justification in favour of this terminology is the uncertainty in the natural history of such a biochemical status and equal possibility of its reversibility to normal glucose tolerance status or progression to overt diabetes. Thus, the term IGT is more appropriate and is without the psychological and social stigma for an individual otherwise thought of as being diabetic.

It has been clearly established in recent years

that diabetes mellitus and impaired glucose tolerance are genetically³ and clinically^{3,4} heterogeneous disorders that share glucose intolerance in common. Heterogeneity implies that different genetic and/or environmental factors can result in similar clinical disorders. It is now apparent that diabetes and glucose intolerance are not diagnostic terms but like anaemia are simply symptom complexes or laboratory abnormalities respectively which can result from a number of distinct etiologic factors³.

Diagnostic Criteria for IGT^{1,4}

Three criteria must be met

- the fasting glucose concentration must be below the value that is diagnostic for diabetes,
- the glucose concentration two hours after a 75g oral glucose challenge must be between normal and diabetic values; and
- a value between $\frac{1}{2}$ hour or $1\frac{1}{2}$ hour OGTT value later, must be unequivocally elevated.

Fasting Value

Venous plasma 140 mg/dl (7.8 mmol/L)
 Venous Whole blood 120 mg/dl
 (6.7 mmol/L)
 Capillary Whole blood 120 mg/dl
 (6.7 mmol/L)

$\frac{1}{2}$ hr, 1 hr or $1\frac{1}{2}$ hr OGTT Values

Venous plasma 200 mg/dl (11.1 mmol/L)
 Venous Whole blood 180 mg/dl
 (10.0 mmol/L)
 Capillary Whole blood 200 mg/dl
 (11.1 mmol/L)

2 hr OGTT Value

Venous plasma of between 140 and 200 mg/dl (7.8 and 11.1 mmol/L)
 Venous Whole blood of between 120 and 180 mg/dl (6.7 and 10.0 mmol/L)
 Capillary Whole blood of between 140 and 200 mg/dl (7.8 and 11.1 mmol/L)

Pathogenesis of IGT

(a) Abnormal Insulin Response

Over the last decade much work has been

done^{5,6,7} on the insulin response to oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) and intravenous glucose tolerance test (IVGTT) in case of Non-Insulin Dependent Diabetes Mellitus (NIDDM) and in IGT. Though in NIDDM, an impairment in the early response to OGTT or IVGTT has been consistently observed, the contribution of impaired insulin secretion in the pathogenesis of IGT is less well established. However, Cerasi et al⁸ have argued that an impairment in the early insulin response is the earliest detectable lesion in "Chemical Diabetes" or IGT. This defect in the early insulin response leads to early hyperglycaemia which provides a persistent stimulus to the beta cells and is responsible for the normal or elevated plasma insulin level seen during the last phase of OGTT or IVGTT. Although other investigators⁵ have published results compatible with this concept, most^{6,7} have demonstrated a normal or even increased early insulin release in patients with IGT.

In this respect the conclusions of Efendic et al⁹ and Luft et al¹⁰, who have recently reevaluated their data on insulin secretion, are noteworthy. The early as well as the late phase of insulin secretion in IGT patients with an abnormal OGTT but a normal IVGTT were found to be increased in absolute terms. Only in those patients in whom both OGTT and IVGTT were abnormal was the early plasma insulin noted to be impaired. Thus even Luft et al¹⁰ have concluded that the plasma insulin response in patient with IGT may be quite variable and can be increased, normal or decreased.

Fajans et al¹¹ have recently drawn attention to the heterogeneity of insulin response in normal subjects and in patients with IGT. They have divided patients with comparable degree of glucose intolerance into "low" and "high" responders. Most patients with abnormal OGTT had insulin response greater than normal, none had insulin response less than normal. Most patients with abnormal OGTT were also insulin resistant. A significant correlation existed between insulin response and the degree of insulin resistance. However, when both variables were taken into account the entire population could be divided into two groups. One group was characterised by both normal insulin responsiveness and sensitivity and the other by increased insulin response associated with greater insulin resistance. Most patients with IGT fall into this latter group but

some had glucose intolerance without either an exaggerated insulin response or insulin resistance. These results indicate that true heterogeneity exists in patients with IGT.

(b) Insulin Resistance

Reaven et al⁹ have shown that patients with IGT and diabetic patients with fasting hyperglycaemia uniformly demonstrated the presence of insulin resistance. The severity of insulin resistance parallels the degree of carbohydrate intolerance. Examination of the dose response relationship in vivo insulin action in these patients reveals that patients with IGT manifest only decreased sensitivity with a rightward shift of their dose response curve and no alteration in their maximum response. Insulin resistance associated with an abnormal carbohydrate tolerance comprises a spectrum with the least insulin resistant patient having an isolated receptor defect while the more insulin resistant patient exhibits a combined receptor and post receptor defect. Insulin resistance is located in the liver and peripheral tissues, chiefly the muscles. Hepatic resistance to the action of insulin is present in the form of inappropriately high glucose production in the fasting state and deficient glucose uptake following glucose ingestion. Peripheral resistance manifests itself as reduced glucose uptake (mostly by muscle) after exposure to exogenous or endogenous insulin and reduce clearance of plasma glucose in fasting state.

(c) Insulin binding

Olefsky et al²² have shown that insulin binding to monocytes and adipocytes from both IGT and diabetes patients with fasting hyperglycaemia is reduced by approximately 30-40%. He found a positive correlation between decreased insulin binding and severity of insulin resistance in their patients of IGT. They were unable to demonstrate a similar relationship in diabetic patients with fasting hyperglycaemia even though insulin binding was decreased to an extent similar to that in the IGT group. Therefore they concluded that post receptor defect must also contribute to insulin resistance in diabetic patients with fasting hyperglycaemia.

Clinical course of IGT

Prospective epidemiological studies^{18,17} have

shown that cases of IGT improve¹⁴ or progress to overt diabetes. However, a majority of patients with IGT do not experience deterioration of glucose tolerance with time¹⁴ and this is true irrespective of age.¹⁸ In a 10 year follow up of 241 cases in the Birmingham study¹⁴, 128 (53%) substantially improved their glucose tolerance and 36 (15%) worsened to diabetes. The major predictor of worsening to diabetes is the level of basal blood glucose level^{14,15,16,17}. A two hour capillary whole blood concentration of glucose greater than 135 mg/dl has been identified as the point above which the risk of progression to diabetes rises significantly¹⁶. It is also said that below a two hour whole blood glucose concentration of 198 mg/dl spontaneous remission may occur in some people^{14,18}. Though the rate of progression from IGT to diabetes is low, it may be amenable to intervention; without advice or treatment the rate is about 3% a year¹⁴, with dietary advice¹⁹ this may be reduced to 1.3% yearly and one small study has claimed that with the further addition of tolbutamide¹⁹ the rate may be cut to nil.

It is not definitely known whether patients of IGT who progress to overt diabetes respond to glucose tolerance testing primarily with hypoinsulinaemia or hyperinsulinaemia. Kosaka et al²⁰ have noted that 12% of 330 patients with equivocal diabetes progressed to diabetes during a mean follow up period of 3.3 years. The insulin response of these patients was normal or even increased as compared to control subjects. However, others^{21,20} have emphasised the impaired initial insulin secretory response to glycaemic stimulus in cases of IGT who deteriorate to overt diabetes mellitus.

Body mass index (BMI) = (Weight in kg ÷ Height in metres²) is a reliable measure of obesity that has been used in epidemiological studies. Obesity has been defined as BMI > 25 in men and > 27 in women. Increased BMI has an adverse prognostic significance in IGT^{18,14,23}. In the Bedford study¹⁶, obesity did not predict worsening during the first 5 years but was an independent and significant predictor of worsening during the second 5 year. Family history of diabetes (specially in NIDDM group) may have an adverse prognostic significance². Age, blood pressure, hyperlipidaemia, renal glycosuria and Hb A_{1c} levels are not of statistical

significance in the progression of IGT to overt diabetic state^{11,19}.

Complications of IGT

Patients of diabetes mellitus are at increased risk of coronary, peripheral and cerebral arterial diseases²⁰. Analysis of eleven prospective studies by the International Collaborative Group²⁴ has not consistently established a similar extra risk in patients of IGT. However, prospective mortality data from Bedford¹⁵ and two Whitehall studies^{16,17} have suggested that subjects with IGT may have an increased risk of Cardiovascular disease. It is now well recognised²⁵ that minor aberration of glucose tolerance, though asymptomatic, significantly contribute to the large vessel disease. In the first Whitehall study¹⁶ a doubling of CHD mortality was found above the 98th centile of the 2 hour post-glucose blood sugar distribution (≥ 110 mg/dl). This increased mortality was independent of the effects of raised blood pressure and overweight. In the second Whitehall study¹⁷ where 7½ yrs mortality data from the previous study were analysed, CHD mortality increased sharply above the 95th percentile (blood sugar ≥ 96 mg/dl). This 2 hour capillary blood sugar value is considerably lower than the proposed lower diagnostic limit for IGT²⁶ which is 144 mg/dl. However, mean 2 hour blood sugar levels in the Whitehall study¹⁷ were some 20 mg/dl lower than comparative values from most of the International Collaborative study²⁴. Thus all cases of IGT have to be followed up so that significantly high CHD mortality may be prevented by "risk factor" intervention²⁵.

Abnormalities of resting ECG may define at risk groups of patients with IGT who are particularly suitable for dietary or drug intervention²⁷. The importance of certain Minnesota-codable ECG findings as independent risk factors for subsequent mortality has been clearly shown in prospective study of nondiabetic population²⁷. Nearly all the International Collaborative Group²⁴ studies showed an increased prevalence of defined ECG abnormality in the IGT range. In the Whitehall IGT group^{16,17} there were significantly increased prevalence of certain specific ECG findings and mortality rate was 3 times higher for those IGT individuals with small Q waves, frequent premature beats and sinus tachycardia¹⁷.

Increased systolic blood pressure (≥ 153 mm Hg) and over-weight (BMI > 27) are associated with a higher relative risk of CHD death than normoglycaemic individuals¹⁷.

General and Therapeutic Measures in IGT

The clinical importance of IGT lies in the increased risk of arterial disease and of progression to overt diabetes. The aim, therefore, is to prevent the onset of these complications. With this end in view the role of diet, exercise, prevention of obesity and drugs are discussed.

(a) Correction of Obesity

Eighty percent of patients of IGT are obese. Obesity per se is known to be associated with insulin resistance³ and high fasting plasma insulin level.⁸ Obesity is associated with a higher relative risk of CHD death¹⁷. Thus, correction of obesity and attainment of ideal body weight should receive highest priority. This can be achieved by hypocalorie diet and exercise.

(b) Carbohydrate Restriction versus Carbohydrate excess

Hypocalorie diet and weight reduction has a small role in patients with normal weights. High carbohydrate diet improves glucose tolerance in healthy nondiabetic control subjects with normal weight by enhancing insulin secretion and tissue sensitivity to insulin²⁸. Conversely a low carbohydrate diet has been shown to impair insulin secretion and glucose tolerance in healthy persons²⁹. Bruzennell et al³⁰ treated patients with IGT with a high carbohydrate diet and reported an improvement in OGTT without any change of insulin secretion. High carbohydrate diet, on the other hand may lead to hyperinsulinaemia which would lead to hypertriglyceridaemia. Augmented insulin secretion may accelerate the atherosclerotic process by enhancing the uptake of lipids by arterial smooth muscle cells as well as stimulating their proliferation³¹. In contrast, a low carbohydrate diet has led to improved glucose tolerance even without weight loss³².

(c) Glycaemic Response to Different Carbohydrates

Carbohydrates can be "complex" or "simple"

Complex carbohydrates are absorbed slowly and lead to a slower rise in blood sugar. On the other hand, simple carbohydrates are more readily absorbed and cause hyperglycaemia. In general, this is true, but there are different starches. Secondly, fructose which is a simple sugar induces a much lower glycaemic response than glucose or sucrose³². Fructose is absorbed more slowly than glucose and removed rapidly by the liver. Since tissue uptake of fructose is not dependent on insulin, this would appear as an ideal substitute for glucose in the diet of the patient with IGT or diabetes. Glycaemic response to sorbitol has been noted to be even less than fructose³³. Although both sorbitol and fructose offer promise as sucrose substitutes, much more information is needed concerning their long term effects before their use merits recommendation.

(d) Role of Fibre

In recent years there has been much interest in the use of high fibre diet³⁴. High fibre diet leads to slowing of carbohydrate absorption without impairing total absorption. It lowers fasting plasma glucose level without diminishing the plasma insulin response.

(e) Beneficial effects of Exercise

Effect of short term acute exercise is short lived and varies with the type, intensity and duration of exercise performed. Generally it is not advocated in the long term management of IGT. On the other hand continuous exercise programme is very beneficial. It leads to increased insulin sensitivity and insulin binding to monocytes³⁵. Fasting plasma insulin concentration becomes low³⁶, there is fall in VLDL and triglycerides³⁷, and there is increase in the activity of insulin sensitive enzyme lipoprotein lipase³⁸. Men with IGT can normalise their glucose tolerance by some increase in their weekly physical activity pattern without any change in body weight or lean body mass³⁹. Soman et al³⁹ have examined the effect of long term physical exercise on whole body sensitivity to insulin. They demonstrated that after 6 weeks training programme tissue sensitivity to insulin in 6 young control subjects with normal weight was enhanced by 30% without any change in body weight. It was concluded from this study that the site of improved insulin sensitivity was in the peripheral tissues, primarily the muscles. There was no change in OGTT but insulin level

fell significantly. These results clearly indicate that a programme of long term physical exercise significantly augments tissue sensitivity to insulin and at the same time reduce the plasma insulin response to glucose. Recent studies⁴¹ have suggested that hyperinsulinaemia per se may be atherogenic and improvement in tissue sensitivity to insulin may be advantageous even if glucose intolerance remains unchanged.

(f) Therapeutic intervention that affect Insulin Resistance

Sulfonylureas are known to increase insulin secretion directly and potentiating the stimulatory effect of glucose on insulin secretion. On cessation of long term administration, the basal and stimulated plasma insulin level return to pretreatment values or are even diminished, but the improvement in glucose tolerance persists. These results strongly suggest that enhancement of insulin sensitivity may be responsible for sustained improvement⁴⁰. In a recent study, the second generation sulfonylurea, glybanclamide, has been shown to increase the number of insulin receptors⁴⁰.

References

1. WHO Technical Report Series, 846, 1980.
2. Ahuja MMS, Garg A: 'Semantics' in Diabetes Mellitus—Impaired Glucose Tolerance. *J. Assoc Physic India* 31: 7, 1983.
3. DeFronzo RA, Ferrannini E, Koivisto V.: New Concepts in the Pathogenesis and Treatment of Non-Insulin-Dependent Diabetes. *Am J Med Jan* 17: 52, 1983.
4. National Diabetes Data Group: Classification and Diagnosis of Diabetes Mellitus and other Categories of Glucose Intolerance. *Diabetes* 28: 1039, 1979.
5. Luft R and Efendic S.: Low Insulin Response—genetic aspect and Implications. *Horm Metab Res* 11(7): 413, 1979.
6. Fajans SS, Floyd JC, Taylor CE, Peck S. Heterogeneity of Insulin Response in Latent Diabetes. *Trans Asso Am Physic* 87: 83, 1976.
7. Reaven GM, Olefsky JM: Relationship between Heterogeneity of Insulin Response and Insulin Resistance in Normal Subjects and Patients with Chemical Diabetes. *Diabetologia* 13: 201, 1977.
8. Cerasi, Efendic S, Luft R: Dose-Response Relation between Plasma Insulin and Blood Glucose Levels during Oral Glucose Loads in Prediabetes and Diabetic Subjects. *Lancet* 1: 794, 1973.

- indicate that
 ce signifi-
 ulin and at
 n response
 ested that
 ogenic and
 ulin may be
 ce remains
- ct *Insulin*
- se insulin
 stimulatory
 cessation
 d stimula-
 tment va-
 improvement
 ts strongly
 tivity must
 ent¹⁹. In 'a
 ifonylurea,
 rease the
- s Mellitus—
 dia 31 : 7.
- concepts in
 Dependent-
- and Diag-
 of Glucose
- ie—genetic
 (7) : 415.
- ogeneity of
 Asso Am
- n Hetero-
 stance in
 Diabetes.
- ation bet-
 ufs during
 Subjects.
9. Efendic S, Wajngot A, Cerasi E, Luft R : Insulin Release, Insulin Sensitivity and Glucose Intolerance. *Proc Nat Acad Sci, USA* 77 : 7425, 1980.
 10. Luft R, Wajngot A, Efendic S : On the Pathogenesis of Maturity Onset Diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 4 : 58, 1981.
 11. Fajans S, Cloutier MC, Crowther R : Heterogeneity of Idiopathic Diabetes Mellitus. *Diabetes* 27 : 1112, 1978.
 12. Olefsky JM, Reaven JM : Insulin Binding in Diabetes : Relationship with Plasma Insulin Levels and Insulin Sensitivity. *Diabetes* 26 : 680, 1977.
 13. O'Sullivan JB, Mahan CM : Prospective Study of 352 Young Patients with Chemical Diabetes. *N Engl J Med*, 278 : 1038, 1968.
 14. Birmingham Diabetes Survey Working Party. Ten Year follow-up Report on Birmingham Diabetes Survey of 1961. *Br Med J* 2 : 35, 1976.
 15. Kean H, Jarrett R, Mc Cartney M : The 10 year follow up of the Bedford Survey (1962-1972) Glucose Tolerance and Diabetes. *Diabetologia* 22 : 73, 1982.
 16. Fuller JH, Mc Cartney P, Jarrett JH et al. Hyperglycaemia and Coronary Heart Disease : The Whitehall study. *J Chron Dis* 32 : 721, 1979.
 17. Fuller JH, Shipley MJ, Rose G et al. Coronary Heart Disease, Risk and Impaired Glucose Tolerance (The Whitehall study). *Lancet* 1 : 1373, 1980.
 18. Jackson WPW, Kalk J. Glucose Intolerance Retested : The Importance of Variability and a Vindication of Restriction of Dietary Carbohydrate. *S Afr Med J* 46 : 2066, 1972.
 19. Sartor G, Scherston B, Carlstrom S et al. Ten year follow up of Subjects with Impaired Glucose Tolerance : Prevention of Diabetes by Tolerance : Prevention of Diabetes by Tolbutamide and Diet. *Diabetes* 29 : 41, 1980.
 20. Kosaka K, Hagura R, Kuzdeya T. Insulin Response in Equivocal and Definite Diabetes with Special Reference to Subjects who had Mild Glucose Intolerance but later developed Definite Diabetes. *Diabetes* 26 : 944, 1977.
 21. Pildes RS : Adult onset Diabetes Mellitus in Childhood. *Metabolism* 22 : 307, 1973.
 22. Ratzman KP, Schulz B, Heinke P, Michaels D. Qualitative changes in the Early Insulin Response to Glucose in Subjects with Impaired Glucose Tolerance. *Diabetes Care* 4 : 85, 1981.
 23. West K : Epidemiology of Diabetes and its Vascular Lesions. New York, Elsevier, 1978, p 159.
 24. Stamler R and Stamler J : Asymptomatic Hyperglycaemia and Coronary Heart Disease. *J Chron Dis* 32 : 683, 1979.
 25. Pettit DJ, Knowler DC, Lisse JR, Bennett PH. Development of Retinopathy and Proteinuria in Relation to Plasma Glucose Concentration in Pima Indians. *Lancet* 15 : 1050, 1980.
 26. Kean H, Jarrott RJ, Alberti KGMM : Diabetes Mellitus : A new look at Diagnostic Criteria. *Diabetologia* 16 : 283, 1979.
 27. Rose G, Baxter PJ, Reid DD, Mc Cartney P. Prevalence and Prognosis of Electrocardiographic findings in Middle Aged Men. *Br Heart J* of 40 : 636, 1978.
 28. Kotlermann OG, Greenfield M, Reaven GM, Olefsky JM Effect of a High Carbohydrate Diet on Insulin Binding to Adipocytes and on Insulin Action in vivo in men. *Diabetes* 28 : 731, 1979.
 29. Muller WA, Faloona ER, Unger RH : The Influence of Antecedent Diet upon Glucagon and Insulin Secretion. *N Engl J Med* 285 : 1450, 1971.
 30. Bruzneck JD, Lerner RL, Hazzard WR et al. Impaired Glucose Tolerance with High Carbohydrate feeding in Mild Diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 284 : 521, 1971.
 31. Stout RW : Diabetes and Atherosclerosis—The role of Insulins. *Diabetologia* 16 : 141, 1970.
 32. Wall JR, Pyke DA, Oakley WG : Effect of Carbohydrate Restriction in Obese Diabetes : Relation of Control to Weight Loss. *Br Med J* 1 : 577, 1973.
 33. Akgun S, Evtel NH : A Comparison of Carbohydrate Metabolism after Sucrose, Sorbitol and Fructose Meals in Normal and Diabetic Subjects. *Diabetes Care* 3 : 682, 1980.
 34. Miranda PM, Horwitz DL. High Fibre Diet in the Treatment of Diabetes Mellitus. *Ann Intern Med* 88 : 482, 1978.
 35. Saltin B, Lindgarde F, Houston M, et al. Physical Training and Glucose Tolerance in Middle Aged Men with Chemical Diabetes. *Diabetes* 28 (Suppl 1) 30, 1979.
 36. Lohman D, Licbold F, Hellmann W, et al. Diminished Insulin Response in Highly Trained Athletes. *Metabolism* 27 : 621, 1978.
 37. Bjorntrop P, De Jonge K, Sjoström L, Sullivan L. The Effect of Physical Training on Insulin Production in Obesity. *Metabolism* 19 : 631, 1970.
 38. Nikkila EA, Taskinen MR, Rehuman S, Karkkonen M. Lipoprotein Lipase Activity in Adipose Tissue and Skeletal of Runner : Relation to serum lipoproteins. *Metabolism* 27 : 1661, 1978.
 39. Soman VR, Koivisto VA, Diebert D et al. Increased insulin sensitivity and insulin binding to monocytes after physical training. *N Engl J Med* 301 : 1200, 1979.
 40. Kolterman GO, Prince MJ, Olefsky JM. Insulin Resistance in Non-Insulin Dependent Diabetes Mellitus. Impact of Sulfonylurea agents in vivo and in vitro. *Am J Med Jan* 17, 1983.

